

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/323,206	06/01/1999	WILLIAM R. BANDY	1689.0010001	8893
7:	590 12/17/2002		:	
STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN AND FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 200053934			EXAMINER	
			MYHRE, JAMES W	
	1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

3622 DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/323,206

Applicant(s)

Bandy et al

Examiner

James W. Myhre

Art Unit **3622**



The IVIAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs in the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM		
•	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	oly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. se the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			
1) 💢 Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 5,	2002		
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This a	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex</i>	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>92-99, 101-104, and 106-117</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) X Claim(s) <u>92-99, 101-103, and 106-117</u>	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>104</u>	is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	iminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:			
1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents h	nave been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	have been received in Application No		
application from the International Bu			
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes			
a) L. The translation of the foreign language provision			
15) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	tio priority uniter 30 0.3.0. 33 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			

Application/Control Number: 09/323,206 Page 2

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The Information Disclosure Statement (paper number 14) filed on November 20, 2002 has been entered and considered.

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II (Claims 92-104 and 106-108) in Paper
 No. 10 is acknowledged

Response to Amendment

3. The amendment filed on November 5, 2002 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Reis et al (EP 0,467,036) reference. The amendment canceled Claims 76-91, 100, and 105 in response to the Restriction notice in the office action (paper number 10) of June 5, 2002, amended Claims 92, 94-99, 101, and 106-108, and added new Claims 109-117. Therefore, the currently pending claims are Claims 92-99, 101-104, and 106-117.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 92-99, 101-103, and 106-117 contain allowable subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 09/323,206

Art Unit: 3622

Statement of the Reasons for the Indication of Allowable Subject Matter

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

While prior art was found which disclosed systems and methods using electronic tags to track and locate items such as luggage (Kaplan et al, US 3, 689,885)(Wade, William, Electronic News) and prior art was also found which disclosed procedures for conflict resolution between multiple tags using random time differentiation to respond to a polling signal (Reis et al, EP 0,467,036)(Walter et al, 5,856,788), prior art was not located in which multiple tags were polled within a specified location to identify the items and which also handled contention between the identity signals from one or more tags within the location by using a secondary identification number stored in each tag. Therefore, the Examiner considers the combination of polling a plurality of electronic tags and resolving contention between the tags by the tags responding with a secondary identification code as the novelty of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 104 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Reis et al (EP 0,467,036).

Application/Control Number: 09/323,206

Art Unit: 3622

Claim 104: Reis discloses an inventory system comprising a plurality of passive electronic tags and a tag reader (col 1, lines 23-32) which performs multiple reads of the tags to avoid time slot contention (col 7, lines 2-37).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed November 5, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that Reis does not perform multiple reads of the RFID tags to avoid time slot contention. The Examiner notes that Reis explicitly claims using RFID tags, which receive power from a power strobe circuit (Claim 10) and are dormant until a wake-up signal from the interrogation transceiver is received (Claim 7). In order to resolve time slot contention ("simultaneous transmissions from two or more transceiver tags")(Claim 11), the interrogation transceiver "acknowledges receipt of identification information from particular tags and requests remaining tags to resend identification transmissions until all responding tags have been identified" (Claim 12). Thus, the system of Reis performs multiple reads of RFID tags to avoid time slot contention. Additionally, the Examiner notes that Walter et al (5,856,788) a new reference submitted by the Applicant on the Information Disclosure Statement (Paper number 14) on November 20, 2002, also shows the reader sending a wake-up signal and a request for identification information to a plurality of tags, receiving a response from each tag, and sending one or more requests to each tag that was still in contention (see columns 5-7).

Application/Control Number: 09/323,206

Art Unit: 3622

The Applicant also argued against the narrow scope of the Reasons for Allowable Subject Matter cited by the Examiner in paragraph 10 of paper number 10. The Examiner notes that the paragraph points out references which disclose conducting inventories of a plurality of RFID tags and performing various methods of contention resolution, such as time differentiation (Reis) and requesting alternate bits from the identification number of each tag (Walter). Therefore, the Applicant's system, as a whole, is disclosed. The only feature that is not disclosed by the prior art is the specific feature of time slot contention resolution using two or more identification numbers on each tag. Therefore, that feature is the only novelty of the invention.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/323,206 Page 6

Art Unit: 3622

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Exr. James W. Myhre whose telephone number is (703) 308-7843. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 6:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, can be reached on (703) 305-8469. The fax phone number for Formal or Official faxes to Technology Center 3600 is (703) 872-9326. Draft or Informal faxes may be submitted to (703) 872-9327 or directly to the examiner at (703) 746-5544.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

WM

December 13, 2002

Yames W. Myhre Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3622